

# Reference Design Properties

Brad Benson  
5-March-2018

# Charge to Readout, Detectors, Telescopes, Cryostat and Cold Optics sessions

***Goal: Determine as much of the CMB-S4 reference design as possible at this workshop.***

The Reference design is ***“a design that has been worked all the way through, to understand repercussions and cost.”*** We want a credible, detailed design that we think is “shovel ready” for the NSF A&A Decadal, but remember it is not the final design!

1. **Starting with major systems or components and work toward the details (e.g., type of detectors, then materials, ...):**
  - a. For what parts of the reference design do we have consensus?
  - b. For which major parts of the reference design are you not able to reach consensus? Why?
  - c. Keeping in mind that the reference design is not the final design, what information is needed so that a decision can be made by end of March.
2. **What are viable options that may be considered?** (“Viable” includes technology that will be ready when critical designs need to be made):
  - a. Which do we want to mention in the decadal input and why?

# CMB-S4 Properties to Discuss

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1vulrDtktoweP96ceIK8INZMWiyhTs9tOvlmepQgTH40/edit?usp=sharing>

In linked spreadsheet, each sub-group should discuss properties under their WG responsibility (e.g., CryoOpt, DetRO, Telescope, Forecasting).

List viable options, and consensus choices. Indicate conclusions in spreadsheet.

We want as much detail as possible; feel free to add rows, listing new properties that are agreed to be important, or other properties where there is consensus.

